ANARCHY 15



SOCIAL WORKERS, ANARCHY in the NAVY, WHY I'M ANTI-INTELLECTUAL.

ANARCHY 15

CONTENTS

SOCIAL WORKERS	
ALTERNATIVE PROBATTON	
SOFT COPS DOWN AT THE SOCIAL SECURITY	
MIT I'M ARTE-INTELLECTUAL	
ANARDY IN THE HAVY	
LETTERS.	
mulitue	

If you should want to sell Americal in your trea write and we'll send you the details.
If you want to more something send it along, if you want to join the collective core along to a Thursday right meeting at the address below.

This fantatic, super value issue was types, cot ap, stuck down and printed by the Anarchy Collective, and published by Anarchy Magazine, 29 Grosvanor Avenue, London H.S. Found 'v Slack Bindery, Mos A. Riving Free, 197 King's Cross Road, London WI

EDITORIAL

Halfway to Abolishing Money

A. CIZE NEW PRICE.

No've at fast come in face what should have been obvious 2 years ago, no've taken on too big a job. The old ANARCHY Was produced by one person. Colin Ward. Dut he only edited it, all the production was done commercially.

When the old New Amerchy group took over, they doubled the size. At that tire they still had the production done commercially (and AMARCHY still came out late), We first started doing the printing ourselves, then the type-setting, then the collating and binding. At this point in time all the work is done by the collective, from the first typed word to the last stock stamp.

We are knackered, and ANARCHY is infrequent. Due to the decreased tests of name it ownelves the Francial position of ___ apari it sood, in have a case balance of 1450, we are owned a fortune, nost of which we will never see, unfortunately, and our only debt is to bur auscribers, were we to fold now we would now 1430, as near as I can work it out. We received just over 100 in domations last year, which has been vital, particularly in allowing us to keep a healthy paper stock and so hit get hit too term by the rising cost of paper. Our machine on which we used to do the typesetting is gone the way of all capitalist goods, so we've got an estimated expenditure of 1150 on a new 2nd hand machine.

Reducing the price to 10p is a bit of a gamble because the new issue is not half the size of the old one, postal and paper costs rays one up enormously BUT we hope to produce more issues per year, improve the content, and self more.

We are producing this issue and are not making a firm decision on this new size and price till we can tell what we think having seen it, and what you think. Do write and let us know as early as possible.

As we havn't yet decided to definitely continue at this size we havn't quotee a suscription rate, nor readjusted off sides, but we're thicking in terms of £1,50 for ''' issues and crediting old subs at 1; cooler for one. This increase in sub-rates is due entirely to the increase in postal charges, haring gone up this year they are going up again in the new year.

The Americky Collective,

SOCIAL WORKERS

IN 1872-1973 THE STATE TOOK 53,000 CHILDREN BETWEEN THE AGES OF 0-17 YEARS TO JOIN THE 37,000 ALHEADY IN CARE, UNDER THE OUISE OF "PROTECTING" OR "CONTROLLING" THEM, BROKE UP THOUSANDS OF HOMES, AND DAMAGED 53,000 MORE PERSONALITIES PROVIDING FODDER FOR THE ARMED SERVICES, THE PRISONS AND MENTAL HOSPITALS.



Social workers are agents of the state; they don't wear uniforms - they are plain clothes cops and robbers. They supervise our homes and our manner of living. They rob us of our children, fucking as all up in the process. Controlling in the guise of protecting. Protection becomes a very similar word...

W. S. WE ARE WEAK

The working chass is not organised to resist repression in any area. The individual or the small group simply can't count on enough support to make resistance successful. To be sure people can find loopholes, they can find out legal "rights" they didn't know about, they can win the occasional rourt battle - but all this is on the enemy's terms. Uncompromising resistance is bound to end is marry-loss at present.

We must face the fact that when the needed degree of organisation and determination is achieved, the resulting conflict is going to be unpleasant. It's cuty mitural for people, however appressed they are, to hope that improvement can come gradually and wilned disaster to themselves and their local ones. We have any that many anarchies who make resolutionary noises nope in their hearif that the resolution will never come, that the growing entigatement and "permissiveness" all somehow phosons into a bloodless revolution.

IT WON'T. In figuring sert cops, set as in tighting hard cops, we have not to be, eve the degree of readiness necessary to the a sur-

WE LART GLOVE... HIGH TIST. Self-ce means a cop who is soft on the constant. If you say no to them, and are no that on, the fill some enough concernier the cells, and its transpoint that beef up those cells, and so make year older whith on, as trainey escalular into 'escape from core' into 'escape from borsini etc. It's consider on life of "crommality" to star with children s or perents' resistance to these non-very-soft cops, in the hast analysis, as is also tappe escalular in any situation it; are pared to will un to make an inter-cinity.

So no one need third, but in opinion uses articles we are making the usual olem than the ruling class origining to behave so when thy because it's 'unnerthing', it 'doesn't soull stee. We're simply pointing out another tree monitors a state of absolute and eventually bloods with say anything more civerial, but the rules of we're weak is that we won't but the facts.

People seem to suss that one 'good' supper doesn't mean the har is our triend - so why floce a 'kind' social worker shile our trains so? The sice kind woman from the social services who is as sympathetic can learn much more about you over a cap of ten than the uniformed below is ever going to. And she can use her information too. The way these people 'drop in' informatiy has its simulater side - there's the inference that our time

That's all very well but ..

agree with so much in the crificiam made of social workers but there are areas where social workers may not be so harmful as when they take kids into care. I know some who have organised ring-around clubs with housebound pensioners and these social workers aren't feared and have helped doing something worthwhile, although humanitarian action may not be the prime revolutionary requirement. Sure, it's nice when groups of people suffering from the system get together without the state operatives involved - one of the most encouraging features of Britain today is the emergent self-help groups. But sometimes a catalyst can help: such a person needs to be in the background and if s/he dominates it's the end, but I can see a libertarian very aware of the perils of power being quite a useful person in such a situation.

Charlotte and Kathy write of the feelings of parents who have their kids torn from them and I've worked with them in this sphere to get across that it's preventing kids going into care that is important, not taking the problem at the wrong end with adoption agencies. They are quite right to emphasise the dangers that face parents because of the Maria Colwell case - the sinister undermining of parental rights by the establishment in this area compares interestingly with right-wing esponent of parental rights when it comes to parents wanting their kitis to be in the "best schools". with no black kids and where exams are pushed all the time. Yet kids do get bushed by parents; the working class is as much exploited by the authoritarian capitalist society as any other group. There is reaction, ratism, churvinism etc. among the working class and some kids get burt far too much at the hands of cruel parents. I think there may be individual cases when children may be better off away from their parents in community homes - yes, if makes us shudder but the revolution won't be tomorrow and children are getting heads and arms broken. Anyone who loves obildren is in a dreadful dilemma over this question and although I'd encourage support in the community for parents, with tinappial and forman assistance as the first priority, I can see a need for some sort of escape route for kids.

In essence we're taking about what revolutionaries should so in our society to help move it in an anarchist direction. Alex Comfort in the lifties encouraged us to go into the social sciences, Colin Ward sees the solution in going for the neademics. Anarchy magazine (new series) has represented a break from this type of approach and although there are faults, there's a vigour that puts libertarian analysis to a challenging test. Some smarchiess can't take it and don't want ittuat it's immortant and potentially damperous to the arabe and authoritarian society. Comfort and Ward failed: when the crunch comes the social sciences and the academics are peripheral; the work, the vital revolutionary struggle is not there, it is in the audience Anarchy magazine is trying to reach.

If there is a role for the social worker who is a libertarian it is in realising the way the system uses good intentions, kindness and even love for its own benefit of stabilising the status quo. In the meantime we have to live, to try and get some job satisfaction and pay the rent/morigage and pay for those things that give us pleasure where we are obliged to pay.

Why are we weak! Because the strength of organisation is not yet established. The organisation of the people fighting and defending themselves and those they hold dear. Treat social workers and community workers carefully, our knowledge of their function and its use to the attie means we can sometimes make use of them ourselves on our terms. After all fraternisation with cops has always been a revolutionary lactic.

J.

RISING FREE BOOKSHUP. 197 KINGS CROSS BOAG. LONDUN H.C.1. 01-837 0182.

Has these books for your perusement...

VOLINE THE UNGIONN REVOLUTION.
(Glack & Red, 1974), 12,00

ARSHINOV MISTORY OF THE MANHAVIST MOVEMENT

1918-21. (Black & Red 1974). 11.20

PERLHAN REPRODUCTION OF DAILY LIFE. (Ulack & Red, 1969), £0.15

PLEASE ADD IST FOR POSTAGE IN THE U.K.

CLACK FLAG Organ of the Amarchist Black Cross. 10p. or a sub of 12 issues £1,50. Write to: 834 HAVERSTOCK HILL, LONDON 4,4,3.

Also the address for Clenfuegos Books.

PROBATION

ANGER
FIRMOESS
DISAPPOINTMENT
PATIENCE
INTOLERANCE
INVOLVEMENT
INDERSTANDING
Such can be the reactions

in the average day of

any Probation Officer

In the current wave of concern about the size of the prison population and the development of altermitive styles of "treatment", the productor service continues to be the organization which is most deeply involved in fealing with deviants/ involved in fealing with deviants/ involved in fealing with deviants/ the new penal measures intending to deal with more people outside institutions. Whilst this has meant a more flexible attitude to "treatment" within the service as a whole, it is clear that the development of the service into an "efficient" professional organization has significant implications for its clientele.

The recent boundary changes have involved the introduction of much larger area organisations, which seem to become increasingly more remote from the people they are supposed to help. The trent in social work generally in this country appears to be towards larger more professional buremicracles towards larger more professional buremicracles towards to the disadvantaged.

Several smaller organisations have reacted to this trend, and I was until recently working in a project, which within the probation service, seems something of an anomaly. The basic assumption behind the detached probation project (as it is known) is that intividuals who wish to provide some form of personal support and help to others must break through the professional barrier which maintains a distance between worker and client, and become available in places, at times and in ways which are appropriate to the needs of any particular individual. What does this involve in practical terms? Within the project it

meant obtaining accommodation in an area which was reasonably acressible to others and where people might want to use the resources of a social worker/probation officer. If meant getting to know local residents in normal stuations i.e. in the local pub, the corner stop, on the street. It meant providing an informal relaxed base (at the worker's flat) where people could teel at home, trink coffee and talk at most hours of the day or night. All this of course involved a new lock at the trustitional attitudes towards probation work and the relationships one developed with "clienta".

'The word "client" itself obviously becomes inappropriate when describing someone one knows well who responds as a normal human being on equal terms. I have always objected to the notion that the social work chen is somehow a different preature from the social worker, with different needs and different expectations, and that the relationship one has with people in the work situation is somehow inherently different from that in one's social situation. (The distinction between "work" and "sooin i activities" within the project became virtually meaningless. I it would seem a more accurate description of the relationship to say that one person is a position (at that time; of relative strength is offering some form of help or support to another, who did that time; is in a position of relative weakness.

This approach obviously contrasts with the normal' work style of the other probation officers. But within the project we had several adventages. From the start we were given an immense amount of free tons to develop contact with whoever we wanted wherever this seemed appropriate. Unlike other officers we were not allocated "cases" from the courts and so we formed our own "enseloads". This means that people who wanted to use as were those with whom we had some degree of compatibility and where the development of a good relationship was possible. One might have imagined that if and when the people we had contact with came into conflict with the law, our relationship with them would change in some way. This however rarely happened. It seemed that people on the whole were less concerned than we were about the conflicts inherent in the court signation and where they wanted one of us to prepare a probation report for them, readily accepted our presentation of their social and personal realities. It did mean that as a consequence of our close knowledge of incividuals we were able to present to the magistrates (or judge) aspects of the person's life-style which had relevance to the offence which had been committed. For instance that an old dosser was stealing copper from derelict sites, because (amongst other reasons), the social security office was denying him his proper benefit; that

a proceditute on a solicity of thang the providing a genuine social service; and even that its anatomist charged with allowing premises to be used for soliciting crimable should be encouraged to his attempts to maintain the local commune. This approach not surprisingly caused some reaction amongst congistrates and others; but it hopefully integrated a greater awareness amongst them of the reality and the life-styles of other individuals and groups, and challynged some of their absolutist notions of society.

This all sounds very good, but what were we actually doing to/with introblusts? The answer seems to be that one was basically responding as a concerned, hopefully sensitive individual to the needs of another buman being. In practice this could mean many different things. Perhaps someone perety wanted to drop into the flat, have a cup of coffee and chat about things in general. Or perbaps it involved a much deeper exploration of an individual's life-style, of that person's hopes and terra, of his own feelings of declements, unfulillment, distillasionment, it might involve the encouragement of a particular activity, the devel-Amount of potential to a specific area of someone's 1(b). Or the creation of those resources which would emilence and promote growth. As a prototion officer it also wears an awareness of, as it were, reality to cross", the need to accept the rensequences of one's own action - that smeding committee for ever the newsyer barmiest (indeed. promotive) as activity in itself could result in a court appearance, with all the damaging effects tial = odd days.

I much much an appointed derives from a particular philosophy shiph sees the development or on-going warm significant paracond relationthinks at of paramount impuriance in the growth of my individual, it also acknowledges that matry. people's experience of relationships has been a noor one, and that there have been few astunctions in which their creative potential has been ancournight, or where they have been able to make gencincly free photoes. This must be viewed in the concest of the sort of society we live in and has obvious implications for social work organisations, Many of the "clients" of social work agencros have been damaged from an early age by forces beyond their control. And superficial appraisal of societal simictures reveals the imdequactes of the educational system, the aliensing work situations, the restrictive family groupings, the whole range of inequalities in the distribution of power and wealth. Many people, especially inose at the bottom of the social structure, have tittle opportunity to develop their potential and to avoid permanent damage. Where they become elients of a social work agency their problems are frequently seen as the consequence of personn! inadequary, disregarding the nature of their social reality. "Blaming the victim" is an all too common process in rocal work.

What implications does this, highly simplilied, craique have for altermitive styles of proballon work. Clearly where it is possible to isolate particular organizations or departments which are damaging the welfare of individuals or groups it is necessary for the worker to explore the various ways of improving the situation by, for example, the encouragement of direct action, At the same time it is frequently very difficult to mobilise such activity since the consequences might be, or might be seen to be, more damaging than before, For example, one of the workers in the project appeared as a defence witness in the trial of a prosting on a perjury charge. One of the many tasmes raised by the trial, which was not reported nationally, was the worker's comments on the activities of the local police vice squad and their dealings win people in the area, particularly prostitutes. It became clear that the chief constable would only consider matituting an enquiry into the vice squad's activities if he received other complaints from local residents. This asemed to be a good opportunity for the strong local seeing about the aituation to be directed in a more productive way. However, no-one was prepared to make an official complaint about police activity. Not surprisingly the people most tirecily concerned (i.e. other prostitutes) felt mromidy realistically) that they would only be antirect to further harassment if they took any BC ELOS

This particular event raises other relevant is near about the function of the probation service and how far any officer can adopt a "radical" stance. It is often maintained that the function of the service is to reduce the amount of lawbresking in society. This seems to me to be somewhat naive. Statistically it is clear that probation as such is no more successful at 'curing' the offender than a fine or a prison sentence. That in itself seems relatively unimportant, if at the same time it provides an ulternative to the damaging effects of institutional life, and perhaps presents the individual with some other possibilities of change or growth. In any case, I think many probation officers would feel that they were responding to the stated needs of the individual rather than to the demands of society. Whatever the official goals of the service, one bould argue quite forcibly that as an agency of social control it is used to contain deviancy and "souk up" the aggression and frustration which might otherwise be directed towards more radical social change, and ultimately perhaps) to revolution. The service acts as a negotiator between the power groups (represented by the magistrales) and its deviant members (the olient), if one accepts (as I do) that social change In this country can only come about by working within the system then this 'negotiating' role seems to have some positive as well as negative

aspects. Perhaps one can improve the level of communication between the rule-enforcer and the rule-breaker and attempt to provide each side with a greater awareness of the other's reality. This may not of course affect the outcome in any radical sense - the power groups will still require protection from those who threaten their security - but at least those involved on both aftes of the penal system will have a clearer perception of the situation and perhaps a clearer indication of strategies for change. It may be possible for instance to challenge the validity of specific laws (for example with regard to drug taking and soliciting) and point to the frequently damaging consequences of their enforcement.

The detached probation project seems to avoid the worst consequences of being part of a social control agency. Most of its "clientele" are self-referred or referred by friends etc. and so exercise some choice in their involvement with probation officers. The principle of self-detarmination (with which most social workers in principle anyway agree) is vital here, and one should as far as possible avoid being directive. Within any established caring relationship there are times when one will inevitably be directive but it is clear that any individual can only develop and grow as the result of free decisions consciously made.

At the same time even the most liberal application of for instance the terms of a probation order involves accountability to the courts and the power of recall to court if the individual concerned breaks the terms of the court order. This seems to involve looking at the whole position of power in the social structure and how far it, as embodied in a probation officer's inaction, can be seen as a necessary part of an alternative to other penal responses. One should also consider the elements of



power and control within personal relationships and the ways in which these can be, and frequently gre, abused. It seems to me that in the probation service the worker's influence in his relationship with the client derives more from his own personality and his own value system than from any external controlling framework.

in an article of this length, it is clearly very difficult to do justice to the many controversial aspects of working in the probation service, and many of the issues have been greatly simplified, It has perhaps however indicated some of the problems one has to live with if one maintains a ques-Homing approach to the work. In some ways I felt I was living in a situation of permanent compromise, of desiting with people in situations which I found personally repugnant - such as courts and prisons. At the same time I felt that I was able to respond in a genuine way to the needs of the individuals who had experienced a more damaging environment than myself, and who appeared to benefit from support and help at times of personal crisis. In the ideal society everyone would take a greater interest in the welfare of its deviant and disadvantaged members and probation officers would become reductiant.

Until people in our society adopt this approach who else will deal humanely with the thousands of people processed through the machinery of "justice"?

Dave Fichus



SOMETHING TO HELP YOU KEEP THE PANILY TOGETHER.

SOFT COPS DOWN at the SOCIAL SECURITY



I have been a clarmant continuously over two years and don't find it hard to malegorine S. S. cleries and visitors, plus the "special investigators" into the entegory of noti cops,

O course I know that for many of them it is the naly kind o' rob they can get, I know the money (an't particularly good, and I certainly know they are subjected to a lot of abusive language sic. from angry claimants - but they could always jack it inmaybe it's knowing how shifty it can be for us on the other side of the counter that keeps them there. in any event they are very much government agents, they are working for the State in an appreciative rolland not sympathy goes (naturally) to claimants each and every time.

The sety first time I claimed I was fairly green but not completely stupid. I had arranged for a tend to come with me but they didn't burn up. I had tast le't my hashand and I was ill from a motor specifical, so I was claiming because I was sick. It would have been all straight foward but for the fact that I had walked into a registry office a few years. be are, I had the orgal long wall before I was told to so into a booth - they don't give you the privacy of not being heard, they merely keep you spart from other claimants so you tose out both ways. In the booth, flust a small glass partition in those days the size! barriers came quite soon afterwards when the C.U. got strong and claimants became apite ! the clerk opposite me realised I was to be seen by the special officer who dealt with matrimontal and potertity have often asked where and when the child was conclasms. I was told to go to the furthest booth and there met the worst S.S. olork I have ever laced, and

me an imset and all.

He was a growny little man and he know his business well, it was his job to get enough information out of me about my husband to be able to trace him. and to get me to sign a blue form that said I wunted maintenance. I have never inderstood why a man should support a woman with no kids when they don't even live together, I also think that while we have a State and a government and taxes and all, that it abould pay for us when we are disabled. But our clever arenay little man duln't start like that. He patted my hand and called me popsy - I felt sick and wondered what was going on. Then he talked about my hosband leaving me and how he should pay me maintenance until I could work, I explained that I had left him and didn't see that he owed me any money. So he tried another lattice

In the state of mind I was in at that time, and not being a hardened elaiment, it really treated me out, I don't remember the exact words he used, but he himled slyly at many sexual happens ago that lead made me leave etc. Then he manually asked inc where my hashand worked, it was a real relief to be asked such a simple question and I told him. Then I saw the form and realised what was going co- "No I couldn't remember his birtadate. No I didn't have a photo to give them. No i didn't want to describe ham " etc. etc. No I would never sign their form, hist give me the money that's all.

It doesn't sound bad does it? But valeus you've been in a situation of having no money, and gone through the questioning of government officials into your private life, you can never really understand how they try to humiliate you.

And since I joined the C.U. and mer so many other elaimants, I have been told of and wirmsand so many incidents of physical and mental brutality that I get really angry every time I hear those shits. on Radio 4's "Any Answers" waxing on about the imines that take advantage of our social security stystem.

It is not a lie that every pregnant woman who is claiming as an unsupported mother-to-be is told that she can't have any money to buy baby coar before the child is born in case to is born dead and the money is wasted, And the way they piss you about with the gira a not coming and mall; tokens being held up for the whole of a pregnancy, it doesn't seem a wild faniney that they hope you'll miscarry and save them the money.

Maintainance comes up again with unmarried mothers. In the old days people used to say they'd been driveing and didn't know who the father was, but now that claimants have shown we do not regard curselves as the lowest of the low, we just tell them to go fack themselves and give us the money. They relyed. I think they must get a kick out of it because officially they just need a name and address and a

signature. Apart from telling them it's none of their business some claimants turn the tables on the investigator by embarrassing them; one claimant, when asked who the father was, said 'I don't know, I said my back to him the whole time,' -exit red faced S.S. man.

But it's not just that they embarrase you sexually, it's not just having people knock at your door at odd times of the day or night to make sure you're not workshy or cohabiting; it's not just 'le indignity of putting is a claim for clothes and having comeone go through your chest of drawers to make sure you're not cheating.

IT'S HARD WORK C LAIMING. A friend of mine was memployed during her pregnancy, and not once in nice months did they got her money right. That means not just being broke, but countless phone calls, letters and visits to the social security until you're worn out and sick to death of the 'we have state'.

I think on the whole I prefer the real hastards to the nice ones. The nice ones make it more difficult to argue for the full money, you can find yourself chatting away nineteen to the dozen and before you know where you are you're not off for cohabiting or realise you forgot to tell them about your impaid electricity bill. You know where you are with a familiat.

It's grossly inclinions and time-consuming that is not a mistake. What better way of keeping someons in a low paid job than having a shifty writher system. "However had it is all your place of work, they are saying, it's not half as had as being on the S.S. "And however had your husband to. Mrs., he's not half as had as us. Claimants don't get extra money at Christmas - OK, so maybe we can survive, but how do you explain to wee kids that Father Xmas doesn't come to poor houses? Surv. It's a wonderful way to explain capitalism to a four-year-old, but I don't like my kid to have no toys.

The S.S. is a moral example— "Work hard, Love your husband, Stay healthy and Don't get old," The system can't ealst without its anemployed and unemployable, but that doesn't mean they're going to treat us well.

Like I said, they're soft cops - so keep your month shut, your head high and never ment them alone.

Charlotte Beggins



WHY I'M ANTI-INTELLECTUAL

Not only do state licensed brainworkers constitute a privileged class which orpresses my class directly are insirectly. Not only cover the educational system support them at my expense, by distinguishing between them and a class of creap labour conveniently defined as unskilled, unspecified, unspecified, unspecified, unspecified.

but the very values of these people and their educational system are reactionary and repressive in the most emagerous way - invisitly so, accounts to be neutral values that we all fost obliged to assent to, without recommission their political role. I meen such values as 'objectivity', 'factualism', 'rationality', 'amouledge'.

A reason-wi-mention confrontation typically consists of some powerful person callely and reasonably putting down a weak person who has embiguity complained about her or his condition. It's the wise, gratious headcustrest explaining to the shomefaced girls why their rebellions act reflected a complete misapprehousion of the attraction. It's a man telling an augry worsan that she is owing hysterical. It's the Mores Haragar patiently explaining to the stupic, blustering worker why the whole industry, including the latter's jab, would collapse if wages worse raised.

It's easy for cerich A, who's in a strong, confortable position to emain calm, and natural for the oppresson, but person! In the emotional, but in saying that a have situation has 'orivep' B to an emotional state for which site should be forgiven. The metional state is not only natural but appropriate and healthy. What defeats 8 is the culturally-acreed on xulperability to factual or 'rutional' putdoms, If sime could say 'I spit on your facts; you're oppressing m' in confortation would have a happier ending.

instead, a has been given just amough 'nducation' to believe that facts and logic are the only valid arguments, but not enough to is able to supply the (right sort of) facts and logic. Even if D had sugged up a lot of facts and was a diever argufyer, and had also managed to resprain her or his emotion in order (immissly) to play it the enemy's way, the lack of certification as a brainworder would ensure defeat: because even if driven to the wall 'factually' and 'logically', A could always win the armoment by anyling 'You have all the facts out of context you are only partially infor at + a of course we all know that a LFTILE knowledge is a dangerous thing.

Working-class people are often patronisingly described as being 'inarticulate' in defending their interests, What this means is that, not surprisingly, the ruling class has laid down the rules of the gare and then not given the working-class enough pieces to have a hope of winning. It means that B says 'Stop shitting on me, you fucking bastard' - a quite adequately articulate statement to my way of thinkinc - when the rules require that B suppress all anger ito the detriment of health and selfconfidence) and spend months oathering statiatics to prove that on the average working day the average member of Class D (Semi-skilled and Unskilled Hanual) has 1.3 ibs of excrement dropped on her/life by a member or members of Class A (Professional & Managerial) and that (as entimated by a leading industrial psychologist) this lowers productivity by 7%, arives .04% of Class D to crime and 3% to alcoholism; that more over in the incident precipitating the complaint the amount dropped on I was excessive within the meaning of the Act (Art. 3 Para, 5).

Or better yet, B should hire a Friendly Liberal Expert to gather this information, Then,, mossibly accompanied by the FLE, B should submit the findings to the proper authorities, together with a petition signed by several hundred concorned citizens, and request that an enquiry be conducted. If all goes well the enquiry will Tead level to the setting up of a Board of Arbitration composed of exports in industrial relations, whose professional qualifications ensure that their judgements will be fair and unotaxed. Any tendency to biss created by the socio-economic advantages which (quite incidentally) accompany the degree in industrial relations will be crapletely overridden by their professional discipline and their careful training in Leasing an open mind and weighing all mossible factors accurately. Anyong who thinks otherwise is an ignorant clod, knowing nothing about professional professional athics.

A them spowits her/his individual case to the panel for its decision as to whether the amount of shit was excessive or just the normal allowable guota, if s/he's lucky, I will turn but put to be one of the few cases decided in favour of the working class just to show unbiased the panel are - and B's boss will be enjoined to reduce the quantity to the n.a.g. (It's allowable allowable because of all the pressure management is inder; because life isn't all roses, my dear; and because it's a regrettable but unalterable sociological Fact that Class D must by its hature be a non-displacing ultimate receptable of any shit going, and you've just got to be mature and objective about it and not go getting hysterical.)

This procedure as contrasted with the inar-

ticulate 'Stop shitting on we you f.b.' approach is objective, factual, fair, scientific, and mainly makes sure that the shit can go on being aropped unobstructed, Revolutionary anger, with all its power, has been sent dribbling down the plugingle so that the basin can be filled up with sludge.

OBJECTIVITY ISM'T OBJECTIVE.

Objectivity is a political viewpoint, and a weapon used by the strong against the weak. It teaches us to district our own feelings, needs, and experiences, and to rely only on information about things apart from ourselves, supplied by a remote, state-walldated source.

You may say that there was a time when the scientific viewpoint played a progressive role in opocains the church. But all that this amounted to in the long run was justification for modern bureaucrats and technocrats and their theoretical manument, 'scientific socialism'. Individual manument, 'scientific socialism'. Individual manufactor with the 'learning' of its priests and tases; observe the labour theory of value and the 13 locks of the Ancient One's beard there's very little to choose from a working-class whemcolots.

THE TRIENDLY LIBERAL CHAMPION.

But can't knowledge and rationality be put to
good use Although in the instance given above,
of the III ral advocate helping out B, the advocate was participating in the system's game and
any being of short-term use to B, aren't there
can intellectuals and scientists trying to destry the system' Look at people like Goodman,
lilish, Laing, And on the less theoretical, more
factual level, look at all the studies of poverty, inequality, homelessness, discrimination
that appear every year.

that appear every year.

Of course I'm always glad when I see that someone like Illich agrees with me on something. It's a pity that I should be in such a poor position that I have to be tempted to quote a prefessor in the course of an argument. When I, me is intimately affected by the things Illich attacks, protest against them, it's not taken seriously: I'm not qualified to speak, However well-intentioned such writers may be, when they speak from their lofty positions in society, the message that comes across is 'The workers should be free (or whatever) because I say so.' The workers'own wish for freedom doesn't count without expert backing.

Bil what are the experts going to do about it? Why should they do anything about it? If you were the great radical Professor Blank would you be on ared to fight for a Society where you would have to dig up potatoes, scrub floors, work in a factory, mind children, and be treated like anyone else? Write, yes; fight, no. Even if you did, i to anticipate readers who may point to Great Hen of the past who have given up all for the cause) the revolution wouldn't amount to much if the masses on whom its success depended

lacked to confidence to play an active role in it without succession to water! domination of any kind.

The friendly Liberal Champion does cure harm by holding the title of professor than all his radical books out together an good. The establishment isn't wormed by radical books. All the pest people are radical mawadays! All their theories can be absolut into the system and turned to its own purposes: re-streaming because that gives working class hids enough opportunity in the rat-race which nees on unabated; or community self-help programms run by social workers So every year we have the groad theoretical books: the new ideas in education, social work, medicine, psycology; the factual books on homelessness and poverty; and strangely enough no revolution happens, squatting and sit-ins are made criminal, the police get more brutal, soft cops acquire more powers, the rich get richer and the poor get poorest so it will continue until people who 'don't know anything about it' except that it stinks, are prepared to tell the experts 'Fuci off and let us fight for ourselves in our own way - or if you want to join us, then give up your position and share our lot so that you'll know what you're talking about for a change.

AMARCHILOGESTS.

Then them are the intellectuals within the movement, whom a commade has labelled 'anarchologists'. They spend all their time translating derzem's lutters and digging up the real truth about the Great Strike of 1874 under the illusion that they are contributing something to the movement, which they regard as a debating society or a book discussion group. Occasionally, they can even show that what they've dug up is 'relevant': Kropatkin said what Schumacher says' Give that scholar a mice red apple! They are fervent defendars of the intellectual viewpoint and it's no coincidence that they also belong to the middle class.

The co-made will provided the label once made the mistake of attending a lecture at the South Place Ethical Society, where Peter Cadonal was the chairman and Nacionles Walter the Speaker. Our commade went in a generous spirit because although he thought ill of this sort of function the topic interested him. At the beginning of the discussion following the tall, he managed to speak once - long enough to say, among other things; that he was a workingman and a wellever in class struggle. He wash't given the floor again all evening despite persistent effort, I'm sure there was no CONSCIOUS smobbery in this. It's just that a thick-accented worker who talks talks about class [which everyone with a large income knows is an out-dated concept) isn't one of the teddibly interesting people with whom one wants to discuss teddibly interesting things and semehow one doesn't notice nim. However wilmly his hand is waving about, (It might, at that, even be piquant to have a real workingman in on the discussion, if only he would avoid That Topica)

The South Place Ethical Society is the ri-

phi place for the anarchologists who are our counsel says 'have built their requiation with the trendy middle-class on the efforts of active enerchists'; I'm sure that if that whale tribe of scholarly braying bores were stuffed and hung up on the walls of the place, none of its patrons would notice anything amiss, although of course they'd have to find more such smakers to uphald their reputation for radical tedium.

CONCLUSION.
Of course there's another side to the story.
There always is. There may be the odd example of a scholarly book which has been of some demonstrable revolutionary value. Emotion is sometimes based on misinformation, Scientific and technical knowledge is useful, and would be more so if made available to everyone. It's sometimes interesting to acquire a few facts, or to find a resemblance between the past and the present.

but the pre-intellectual view is so theroughly dominant in this society, and plays such a repressive role, that the case against it needs to be stated over and over again until oppressed people are able to trust and act on what they know.

Cathy Parlo.

(Comment by Ertendig Liberal Chammaton typissed)

Sorry about my middle-class accest, but I aim't games change that for nu-one, sorry front by slightly higher the neveral income - if coming years a bit of spare the to type for Alambur, Answers to your questions: I would fight for a sucrety where I had to die position off... if not society was one in which to unactessary, profit-making work had usen abolished. In the beautime, I'm rather do bolled, parastical worklessary where to type articles for Anarchy, Anarchology way seen averdone to people active in the movement, but its useful education to prople like no on the fringes, who only read 1.00 of unarchist literature.

The intellectual often sells out in working for the System, but we does the worker who stends his life in a factory making useless crap for his better-paid, better-educated fellow-workers to advertise and sell. Statistics can lie, and, more dangerously, they can be used to mask and sanitise oppression (You air't got a crublem until our tare sociologist has measured it). But as long as you want to change reality, anything that lelps you describe reality (including middle-class articulationss, ichentific inow-how, "liberal" concern, etc.) can be a useful weapon.

Final common from W. Shakespears, an intellectual and a great bloke:

> "How well (sine's read. To reason against meding..."

> > Geoff,

ANARCHY IN THE NAVY



On accessions in the past, at public meetings, I was ward critics of socialism, and of addrow, instance the running of a ship as an argument against the principle of libertarian organisation and of non-authority. It has been asserted and claimed that it would be impossible to run a large ocean-going vessel without some tindul discretical command structure. No ship could traverse the seas without a captain, it has been said, And on a theoretical level, more than one supposed odvocate of socialism and communium has held the same viewpoint.

In his essay On Authority, Friedrich Eng : states quite categorically:

"Not the necessity of authority, and of impervious authority at that, will nowhere be found more evident than on board a shin on the high seas. There, in time of danger, the lives of all depend on the instantances and absolute obedience of all to the will of one."

and even William Abreis, in his essay, Con. 11st, remarks.

"I enti-socialist will say "how will you sail a ship in a socialist condition?" New? Why, with a captain and mates and a colling master and engineer (if it be a steamer) and A.B.s and stokers and so on and so on. Only there will be no lst, and and and class among the passengers: the sailors and stokers will be as well fed and longed as the captain or passengers; and the raptain and the stoker will have the same pay."

ignoring the remark that, within a classless society, the wages (pay) system would continue, it must be stressed that, with the trimenous advances in technology since Porris and Engels were alive, modern ships, as well as certain types of aircraft, can now be remotely controlled and guided automatically.

This was not, however, possible until quite recent times. Moreover, it was not possible with such large vessels as battleships or destroyers in 1936. Yet, despite some mistakes and lack of organisational preparation and, of course, extremely adverse circumstances, a comparatively large number of ships of the Spanish Republican lavy did manage to traverse the seas around the Spanish coast, and the Mestern Mediterranean, for a number of weeks following the military up-

rising, without any form of hierarchical communic structure. There was anarchy in the Spanis. Navy:

in 1936 the Spanish havy was, in fect, quite large. It comprised two battleships, six cruitors, seventeen destroyers, nine submarines, six torsedo boats and five to ten gumboats. In 1931, a majority of the officers of the Navy, like these in the Army and Air Force, took an oath of allegiance to the Republic; but most of them had no intention of working for the Republit.

"asI of the officers supported, or were involved in, the Insurrectionary Mavimiento against the Republic. During meetings between the Admirals and General Franco at the time of the Navy manoeuvres off the Canary Islands. calticulous arrangements had been prepared and Lhoroughly worked out for the mass enhancation of Mareccan troops to take place immediately after the uprising. But most of the Navy did not rally to the conspirators. The Minister of the Marine, Giral, had already restricted naval minosurves around the Canaries and the Moroccan coust, loyal telegraph operators were, moreover, posted to the larger ships and to the Madrid Headquarters at Ciudad Lineal, But it was really the crews who thwarted the plan.

Most of the sailors were of working-class origin. They were better aducated and better trained than most of their comrades ashore. They also knew now to organise themselves against the preparations of their officers. There was no Communist influence in the Navy, but on nearly all the ships, small clandestine cells, comprising mainly anarchists, but also some socialists, had been formed, made up of eight to ten sailors and NCOs. These maintained links with such organisations as the C.N.T. and F.A.I. in the ports. By the beginning of July, there was an elected Central Council of sailors on the cruiser Libertad, On July 13, delegates from Councils on the Commantes, the Almirante Cervera, the Espana and the Velasco were able to meet the Council of the Libercod in El Ferrol, and discuss the moves tney would make following a Generals' and Admirals' uprising. On July 14, they managed to establish contact with the Sailors' Council on the battleship Jaime I. Two days later in Madrid. Balbao, an NCO attached to the Naval Broadcasting Centre, physically removed the head of the Centre who was one of the leaders of the



officers' conspiracy. Through Balbao, during the uprising, the NCOs at the Centre were able to transmit messages to each ship, giving it up-to-the-minute information about the insurrection.

The Republican Government attempted through -out July 17 and 18 to crush the Generals' revolt by constitutional means. Casares Quiroga, the Prime Minister, repeatedly telephoned General Alvarez Buylla to resist the rebels in Morocco; and then ordered the warships at their bases at El Ferrol and Cartagena to proceed to Moroccan waters. But in most of the ships of the Spanish wavy, the officers refused to obey the orders of the Minister of Marine, Giral. He, therefore, dismissed them by telegraph, and gave power to the chief machinists. He also gave instructions for the distribution of arms to the crews. The crews, however, had in the main already acted.

The three destroyers, the Sanchez Barcaiztegui, Lepanto, and the Almirante Valdes, arrived at Melilla from Cartagena on the morning of July 18. During the voyage, the officers had heard General Franco's broadcast from Las Palmas. They prepared to join the rebellion, On arrival at Melilla, which was in the rebel generals' hands, they were ordered by the Ministry of Marine to bombard the town. They refused. The captain of the Sanchez Barcaiztegui summoned the crew on deck, explained the aims of the Movimiento, and demanded that the crew support the revolt. He was, at first, greeted with silence. Then, he was eventually interrupted with the cry: "To Cartagena!" The cry was taken up by almost the whole company. The officers were overpowered and locked up. The Sanchez Barcaiztegui raised anchor and, under the command of TES Sailors' Council, left the rebel port and made for the open sea. The crows of the Lepanto and the Almirante Valdes also overpowered their officers. Tocked them up, and sailed out of the port. They elected Sailors' Councils which organised the running of the ships, and kept in 'touch with the Naval Broadcasting Centre in Madrid.

On the eventful dawn of July 19, the cruisers Libertad and the Cervantes were sailing south from El Ferrol. The destroyer Churruca had just landed a tabor of Moorish troops at Cadiz.

and the battleship Jaime \underline{I} left the port of Vigo just before the uprising.

The following day, the crew of the Churruca shot all their officers. The same day, the crews of the Libertad and the Cervantes imprisoned or shot their officers, and their Sailors' Councils took over the running of the ships. But the most violent struggles occurred on the Jaime 1. The crew informed the Centre in Madrid that they were taking control of the ship, and were making for Ceuts. There was, however, abloody battle in mid-ocean. The officers resisted to the last man. The Ship's Council then radioed Madrid and asked what they should do with the corpses! They were told to lower the bodies overboard "with respectful solemnity". By midday, July 20, all the ships were completely under the control of their respective crews. All the ships then sailed for the Bay of Tangier, where they were shie to stop the arrival of reinforcements, from Morocco, for the rebel generals, "The action of the sailors, by giving a serios jolt to the generals' plan, thus emerged as one of the most important events in the early days of the uprising." (The Revolution and the Civil War in Spain; Browe and Temine, p.110). In the words of the German charge d'affaires, Voelckers: "The defection of the Navy was the first thing that upset Franco's plans." (Ibid, p.119).

The crews of the ships remaining in Galicla in the north-west of the country, naturally had less influence on the hoped-fur disembarkation of Franco's troops from Horocco, Hevertheless, the pattern was much the same as elsewhere in the Navy.

in Galicia, the main opposition to the Generals' revolt came from the crews of the warsnips in the harbours of Corunna, El Ferrol, and Vigo. The crews overpowered their officers on July 20. At El Ferrol, the military rebels managed to get control of the port, but the crew of the batlieship Espana wrested control of the ship. They then began bombarding the rebel troops on shore, Unfortunately, owing to hesitations and divisions among the crew of the Almirante Cervera, which was also in the port of El Ferrol, the ship surrendered to the rebel troops. The Espana likewise raised a white flag, only to be followed by a couple of torpedo boats, all of which had overthrown their officers. This

was a serback for the litims law forces. Many of the sailors, after they had given themselves up, were executed on the spot. El ferrol became the main, and for a time only, rebel naval base. By September 1936, the Nationalists, as the rebels were now being called, had control of one battleship, two cruisers, one destroyer, and two gun- or torpedo-boats. The rest of the fleet was nominally under the control of the Republican government.

On August 9, a joint Catalan and Valencian expeditionary force of four transport snips, escorted by the battleship Jaime 1, two destroyers and a submarine, all under the control of the Sailors' Councils, arrived at lbiza. The workers rose up against the rebel garrison, and the island was taken over by the workers and the Sailors' Councils. But even at that early stage of the Civil War, the tide was turning against the workers and peasants of Spain, and their seamen allies. Nazi Germany and Fascist Italy were already intervening on the side of Generals Mola and Franco.

detween July 29 and August 5, the Germans provided transport aircraft to ferry 1,500 men of the Army of Africa to rebel-held Seville, And the Italians supplied fighter planes to protect the Nationalist merchant ships which also ferried 2,500 men and much equipment from Morocco to the Spanish mainland, With such support. Franco was able to control the Straits between Africa and Spain, as well as much of the Mediterranean coastline of Spain. The Republican fleet, at that time still run by the Sailors' Councils and Committees, was forced to take shelter in the harbours of Cartagena and Barcelone, Where indeed it spent most of the rest of the war" (The Spanish Civil War; Hugh Thomas, p.316.). Stanley G. Payne (The Spanish Revolut-ion; p.339) also notes that from about September "... The Navy remained relatively inactive ... " He puts this down to, of all things, "Comunist weakness"; but comments thus:

"The Soviet Union sent comparatively little maritime equipment, and the number of Buss-lan advisers was proportionately lower than in the Army or Air Force, though apparently two Republican submarines were commanded by Russian Officers." (1010, p.339).

aut. Despite the short period that the ordinary scamen of the Republican Navy were able to control their ships, they did at least prove that, not only very large vessels, but a freet of ships, can be navigated on the high seas without any hierarchical command structure. Under peaceful circumstances, any ships could be navigated under sailors' Control, through Sailors' Councils. Libertarian organisation on the high seas is quite apractical proposition. Engels and Morris were wrong; there need be no coptain, nor "impervious authority" and "absolute obedience of all to the will of one".

Peter E. Newell.

LETTERS

Dear Friends.

Thanks for the latest parcel of 'Anarchy'. I particularly appreciated the photo of Franco and his quarks, having just gone through an order in the grips of the medical profession. I say write an article on my experience sometime, Particularly NOT appreciated is the continuing petty squabble between Westall and Walter. It is boring, stupid, and a complete waste of time, effort, expense and valuable space. So no more.

love and peace. Non Clerk.

Dear Conrades.

I was wondering if you have any copies of 'Thm Italian State Massacce' at Libertaria deals. Freedom Press have republished Rocker's 'Assection and America-Syndicalism', and at his books are completely unobtainable in New Zealand I Hour! I'd try and get a copy through you. Must of the books in podcome about anarchism are prestly fucked, Joll, Noodcock and Noftman being the cost cronic. Just taken V. Richards: 'Life and Ideas of Nalatesta' back to the A.U. Library with there was more by Malatesta available. If you nave a catalogue of books you distribute, could you send us a copy, any prices plus sostage of the books I asked thout.

"A local anarchist told me he'd sent off a letter critical of Anarchy 12. He tends to go overboard at times; he has an almost 'Healyite' approach to politics. I mean, he's guite (approach, not their power-seeking. I was disturbed by the rules of the LNLT. I had no idea they were so nutroitiathan. The uncritical acceptance of these in the article worried me. I think there is a possibility that the crushing of the Spaush movement may have been contributed to by adderance to such rules. In N.J. information is hard to get, and this fucks any attempt to understand the events past and present of the world.

I found the Anarchy 13 issue much more relevant to the day-to-day oppression that faces us all. Quite a few people read the article 'The Politics of Pregnancy' and were impressed. Most of the Momen's Liberation groups in golzone are liberal and reformist, so it's good to read something revolutionary on the struggle of woman that is applicable to H.Z.

We have bought an ancient multilith 1266 press. We have no plate-making gear, but we do have plates, and we could get plates made commercially. We were thinking of putting out a newsletter using a Gestetner, and off-set some pumphlets, if apathy doesn't kill us first. I nope you have better luck with your magazine's production than in the past. The list of woes in

number 12 Tooked cost unpleasant.

Lucium formerd 1- Acors y 10.

fraternally.

Texture | Jurry |

Dear Anarchy.

I wrote the enclosed article after reading the front page story in the News of the World of October 13th, which purported to report the 'Carmival of Neglect' held in our area on Saturday, 12th Detober, The Carnivel was held in support of a demand for "General Improvement Area" status for the Wicholston/Newtown area of Southampton Immediately - not in 1977 or '78 as planned. It was also to protest about the poor street-lighting, dearth of phone-boxes, streetparking by out-of-town office workers, and Torries taking short cuts through our residential area. The residents also object to the prostitutes, but to say the procession was held solely against them was a wild exaggeration and distortion - typical of our "free" press freedow to lie and misrepresent, more like.

THE CARNIVAL OF NEGLECT.

We spent the previous week working on the playground building a huge wooden telephone box (these being one of the facilities our area is a short of) designed, sawn up, nailed together, painted red, and labelled 'telephone', all by the ki's themselves. On Saturday we all essembled at the playground in Northwaberland Road, loaded our telephone box on to the lorry, piled on behind it, had the local Next Indian Steel and on another larry, and wound our way dencing and shouting and falling off lurries and fighting all over the area, with a long procession in tow, complete with the Wavy Navy acting about.

All the people came out on their doorsteps and waved, and lots more kids joined in. Some hatries in Diray Road blow up a hung polythene wag, and we all wore badges from the way Havy people. An hour or so later we arrived, excited, hourse, and chanting "O...I., A., UDNI:" so the whole town could hear. Mr. Mayor was on hand, complete with gald chain, and the television man got some of us on the box.

We gathered round the Mayor, to listen to his speech, and the speechs of the local dads who'd organised the Carmival. The ride home was craly. "G...I..A..:" we chanted all the way back. Wr. Mayor had been very nice, and promised us a G.I.A. next year, so it was all successful. I think those 5 or 6 little Ludian girls in their bright saris, clinging on to the side of the larry for dear life, are still chanting now. We got home and had three cheers for the playground and for the march and for the band, and for us, and then as in all the best stories, we went home very tired and very hourse.

But this wasn't a story. It really happened. I have lived in the area for 3½ years, and work on the adventure playground and know must of the kids. The whole procession was a Carmival of Neglect, organises by the local Community Associations to get General Improvement status to I ame 'tichoiston/newtown area - not in 1978, but immediately - so that more coney for street ints, tolaphone boxes, cleaner streets, and better facilities will be available. We also want to stop all the non-residents parking, and the larmes taking short cuts down our narrow streets. And the prostitutes ...an yes .. the prostitutes.

Its not nice for our kids to be brought up so that kerb crueling cars follow any women or young girl on the streets after dusk. But some of the prostitutes are nothers in the area as well, and anyway, late get this straight, Prostitutes con't exist to market themselves like a new brand of disposable rubbish churned out by our society. They exist because of the hatred, fear, dispust and possessiveness with which men consider women; and men demand the existence of prostitutes as a unique object to be defiled, and with which to discust and frighten themselves at the same time. So, why ever prostitutes exist in our area, its not our fault, and through we object, I for one know where the "blame" lies - with men, and especially with those nice middle-class family figures living nowhere near our area. And the reason ! 'we none on about the prostitutes? Well, if you'd read the News of the world on the day after our Carnival, you"d have seen the headlines a, the front page: "SHUT OUR WINDOWS OF SHAME", And what has that bestion of the free priss, the heat of the Perverted Screws, to say about all that energy, all that enjoyment, on Saturday afternoon? That "Amazing Procession" were a not-thek depend for legalised brothels, for titiliating the morals of suburble into how a prostitute could rotine on 7 years earnings, and for a puritamical sniffing about lower V.D. rates. A little boy carrying a sign "Keep Our Streets Clean" an immocrat call for better sweeping and refuse collection - was calling for a removal of all the "Vice",

And the News of the World's contribution to the improvement of our community? - to announce that 3,000 American sailors and arrived in Southampton the might before, and then calmly to detail the exact area - complete with street names - where they should head to make their "good time".

I think of Maria who almost fell off the lorry, of Hablas whose name I coulon't get right of Marcus who bumped his head, of Orrissy who we almost lost off the A foot telephone box, of Valerie and Louise who won prizes, of Toti who jumped on Half way round, of Simon and Fronny and Annette and Stephen who did all that sawing and of Earcline who beat me up all the way there and all the way back, and all those other kids whose names live forgotten or dou't know yet. And I Took at the Weeks of the Norld's "Exclusive" by Mr. Micholas Light, and I feel revolted and wick, tick, tick.

love,

Simon Timm.

(Slightly shortened for reasons of space: typist).

REVIEWS

SABATE: GUERRILLA EXTRADRDINARY, by ANTONIO TELLEZ. (DAVIS-POYNTER, 13.50; BOOK CLUB-EDITION, CIENFUEGOS PRESS, £2.35).

The subject of this book, Francisco Sabate Llopart, known as 'El Quico', was a very brave wan. He was also a living tragedy. His tragedy was that he and other comrades waged war on Franco's dictatorship for over twenty years, and died violent deaths Decause the 'official' organisations of the Spanish working class effectively gave up the struggle against Franco.

This wook does not present the type of querrilla actions Sabate participated in as the only means of struggle against fascism in Spain, and just as well. What it does describe is an extended campaign fought, by an ever-decreasing number of anarchist militants, through frustration more than anything else.

The book perhaps fails in that it does not develop a critique of the C.N.T. The refusal, over the years, of the C.N.T. to support Sabata exposed the increasing political and moral bankruptcy of the organisation in exile.

As Teller illustrates, the C.N.I. not only folled to support or show solidarity with

unarchist guerrillas fighting against fascism, but opposed and derided their actions. Fur example, the 'Anarcho-Syndicalist Groups', founded by Sabate because of a tetal lack of L.N.T. underground organisation inside Spain, were derided by the C.N.T. as divisive, Sabate was attacked for attempting to split the C.N.T. In lact, he was doing what any serious revolutionary should do. He created organisation where there was mone, accepting that the blessed sanctity of existing organisations is irrelevant to the class struggle and revolutionary aims.

The C.N.T. was in no position to oppose the guerrillas' actions on tactical grounds either. It was incapable of proposing or propagating alternative forms of action because it had, by by meekly and sometimes gratefully accepting exile, lost its base within the Spanish working class.

It is, I think, unfair to criticise Tellez too heavily on this count though. The book is, after all, written in the form of an adventure slovy, and is both thrilling and sad. It is very well researched, although at times one suspects that the translation is not always true to the original

One can only hope that this book will desirey some of the myths and downright lies that have surrounded Sabate since his death.

P.C. Plond.

THE REW TLC MOLDRY OF MERMESSION -LESSONS FROM IRELAND, UNSRS paper 2, 30p.

Last year when I was told that this pamphilet was to appear by a nember of the prilish Society for Social Responsibility to Science I was apprehensive because of the participation in the preparation of it of one Berry Lawless, Jesus, T thought, It's pot all the hallmarks of a Rona Fields debacle, Un reading the final product, however, my fears proved groundless, for this is a well-researched and useful publication, Jonathan Rosenhead, who did most of the work on it, is to be congratulated in having etchewed the more luticious sensationalist and counterproductive crivel of the Lawless and Fields varius) and on having concentrated on giving the lay un a simple and readable guide to some of the new weaponry of repression at present belt - lested by the State for possible use in sarther - on Geftain,

The nain contention, and a quite correct one at this. Is that incrtiers freland is being used as a testing ground by the British Army and their masters in the never-ending search for yet one repressive wearing of controlling and manufacting the people. He gives an easy-to-read guide to CS pas, CR gas, rubber buillets, interment, torture - and in particular memory deprivation - and an assessment of future

in torthern Ireland who have been subjected to the repression of the State in it's most blatcht for for the past five or six years, but at 30p its 50 pages plus illustrations do offer good value for money for the general critish reader. And remember, when you are reading it, when the tastards smash my door in a 4am raid over here. It's also a test run for doing the same thing to you in the near future - Repression in artain? It's just a shot away.

John McGuffin

John "couffin is an anarchist who lives and works in Jalfast, he is the author of two books - Internment (Anvil Press 1973, obtainable from raing Free) and the Guineapigs which is due to appear as a Penguin Special this autumn and deals with British Army interrogation and torture with special reference to sensory deprivation.)

hey, thats a really disgusting egotistical blurb I've just written isn't it? Oh well, you bastards won't print it anyway and I'll not get to see a copy for over a year no doubt - hey. I've just thought do you pay your contributors. I've just thought do you pay your contributors especially it they're as famous as my good self? Ah shit, there's no harm in asking, can't youse take a fucking joke?



6 Tweed exercises by Beardina & Fay, 250. Green and white line closek shirs by Wendy Dagworthy, 213. Long Irleand silky seart by Eddie Van, 49.50. Scraw has by Charles Batten, 21.50. All Iron Howle. Beige canon shoes by Macola Hishnik, 216.50. I von Zanota, 49.01d Church Birres, 8983.

Dear Leavin' Home.

A hoosewife must be defined as a fluctuating commodity detained and crystallised in the ideological proletanashation of the subjectivest counter-culture. Full source must be taken of the integral effect she has on class recomposition in the effort of the class to transform risell transitionally through ideological course of the means of production. The house-

Fr as non-productive unit must be transformed into an instrument of true revolutionary consciousness through her participation in destruction of reactionary sourceois mentality when her unlimited heroson will be made visible through her integral participation in the transcendent deviations of the decomposed class.

Yours Nathaniel U. Smith-Jones-Brown.

Atket about her "quiet store" in an interview in the current issue of the magizine Pre-Retirement Choice Mrs Thatcher said she had been storing up food for some time.

"People tend to think of atorage these days in terms of deep freezing but fresh most wen't keep in a deep freeze tor more than about a year, she said. "Tinned food on the

other hand will keep for his, to or 15 years. What you collect are the expensive proteins: ham, tongue, salmon, mackerel sardines. They will last for years I have got some corned best, too."

The home of Mrs Thotcher, the grocer's daughter, in Flood Street, Chelsea, must be bulging at the walls, for she has claniald in 2 lot of tinned fruits. "With the sugar shortage, this must eventually work through to tinned fruit also tinned is man are missite in those, also big time of instant coffee. These are expensive things. I though everyone was doing it time Jim Stater recommended it."

Are there there without sugar? Mrs Thatcher isn't. "I've got some sugar. I came across one bag the other day with a 4rd told money) label on it.

government knew sugar was being hoarded.

LICENSE

to Ripp - Off Children

to be the current or the and All Saulai Workers

1 - All to the City and County of Wherever they shall found

Valid Sayarbase in the Load

- CONTROL TO BOTH A SERVICE DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OF THE SERVIC
- The particular state of Williams in the second the major has compared a minimum of former Vision in the Million ESCAVSTOR and PERCEING PARTITIONS, governors from a second-control former in
- The Place fundament repulses of fundat Human Region and Kalling control of the Place and the Human Base and includent resource resources for time angular of Human Base and include the poor Washington Region of Human Base Place.
- An intermediate index for August of the Life AST to be the constitute of the formal of PRINCIPLE, without regard to Provin Commission and and probability Execution, and always with the Union Regard top the LTW (action on the bost on validate).

WARNING, MAY ACT OF PASSION OR DESPLAY IN EMPIREM BY THE BEARDS WILL IM-MEDIATRLY RENDER THIS LICENSE INVALID.



The form to the first to be about the second to the second

ANARCHY



No 16 SQUATTING

INSIDE: Housing & Occupations What's Revolutionary about Squatting?

ANARCHY 16

CONTENTS

Editorial - housing and occupations

What's revolutionary about squatting?

10

Letters

All-London Squatters GLC Action Group press statement

Tenant Beware

If you want to join the collective come to a Thursday night meeting at the address below.

Produced by the Anarchy Collective and published by Anarchy Magazine, 29 Grosvenor Avenue, London N5. Bound by Black Bindery, Box A, Rising Free, 197 King's Cross Road, London N1. When we looked at the Soft Cops Issue after we had finished it, we realised a need for a clear pointer as to what is our view and what is a point of view that we publish but don't necessarily agree with.

For instance, sandwicked amongst our various opinions on social workers etc. was an account by an actual probation officer and it might be confusing to people that we agreed with him. Well we didn't necessarily you see.

So, not wanting to have to write the whole thing ourselves; or to confuse people as to what we think; and also to avoid footnotes so huge that they take over completely, we have adopted the idea of writing an editorial article that gives our views on the overall topics raised in each issue. This allows us to print articles that we don't agree with alongside of things we do, we hope without confusion.

It should also, over the years, (nice bit of long term planning here) give a fairly comprehensive manifesto on our ideas as Anarchists related to practical subjects such as housing, medicine etc.

The first of these dynamic articles begins on page one, and something you ought to know is that Mrs. Watson is a Councillor on Islington Borough Council and is also Chairman of the Housing Committee. There are lots of other things that could be said about her of course, but as she keeps cropping up in this issue you should get the idea...

THE ANARCHY COLLECTIVE.

housing and occupations

There is no housing problem. There's a class problem, "Housing problem" is a nice phrase implying that everyone is affected equally. The middle class may have to pay ridiculous rents and house prices but it's not they who end up in hostels and B&B. There are plenty of houses but the lower class, by definition those who siways get the worst of everything, haven't the money to rent or buy them. The state isn't doing its job of providing minimal survival needs for its proles and since for obvious reasons this won't do, a lot of noise is being made about a "housing problem". But when and if the state gets around to ameliorating it, the proles will still have the worst of everything - including some homelessness, although kept to a "tolerable" level - and what housing is provided will be at the cost of less freedom.

Money - wage and price manipulation, welfare payments, taxes - is the chief instrument by which the ruling class determines who gets what, but it isn't the only one, Licences to "squat", that is, occupy council property rent-free, are simply another form of money, as are rent rebates (which in some cases reach the point where the tenant pays nothing), and free goods and services. Control is the keynote, and it's much more important than specific policies. Mrs Watson says "The problem with squatters is that you don't know whether they have housing need or not," Who doesn't know? Why, Mrs Watson, who everyone assumes has the God-given right to decide on these matters - not the squatters themselves, or the neighbours. The interest in maintaining control has been internalized

both by those who profit and by those who lose by it, so that most people automatically took for state solutions to problems: whatever it is, it's got to be regularized, itcensed, registered, de-casualized. And the lower class are the biggest losers.

For this reason, although we appreciate the All-London Squatters' exposure of the state's divide-and-cule factics, we must reject the statist implications of their article, namely that squatting is only excussible as a negative, desperate expedient (lust as factory occupations are usually considered justifiable when redundancy threatens - as Solidarity put it, "If the bouses won't exploit us, we'll have to do it ourselves'). and equatters only excusable provided they are respectable, time-clock-punching "ordinary" people who just need a kindly landlord to pay rent to. Apart from the fact that many squatters are hippies and political activists, we don't think working-class. people ought to feel obliged to live by the employment-ethic and the rent-ethic which prop each other up. We see squatting as a positive step despite its limitations.

The only real solution to the class problem, as it affects housing or anything else, is to seize the means of production and life for ourselves. (This may seem obvious but so much left and even anarchist propagenda goes along with the Why doesn't the state do its job? line which is more acceptable to most people that we must draw the distinction clearly.) It's no coincidence that squatting and factory occupations would both be affected by the anti-trespass law.

OCCUPATIONS

Workers' occupations have long been a lynchpin of libertarian ideology and practice, from revolutionary Russia in 1917 where anarchists advocated direct expropriation of the work-places and total selfmanagement of production, to Paris in General Management, spent about a page and a half explaining why he rejected the word "democracy" and substituted "participation".) "Job enrichment" and "participation" are good economic sense for capitalism - workers who feel involved, less alienated, and who get some measure of satisfaction, are likely to work harder and be less discontented and rebellious.

Leninists, too, call for the "reorganization of industry under workers'
control". But they're not talking about
self-management either: what they mean
is the working class, through its executive
the Party, exercising control. This means
more hierarchy rather than less: and it
means that the revolutionary dynamic of
the people is stifled. Workers' organizations become sterile and disinterested,
dominated by bureaucrats and "gental"
leaders".

Finally, the Labour government, or at least Tony Benn, is supporting workers' occupations. It's a good alternative to nationalisation - industries that don't make a profit, that capitalists want to close down, but are in fact necessary, instead of the working class subsidising them through taxes, why not let the workers of the industry or enterprise subsidise it directly, by taking responsibility for its losses themselves through harder work and lower wages.

As we've said before, the revolutionary reply must be to aim for more occupations and more self-management, so that self-managed enterprises don't have to exist in complete isolation, and so that self-management and libertarian ideas are talked about and experienced by more and more people.

THE ANARCHY COLLECTIVE

GEORGE POULSER

Comrade and friend to many anarchists, died from a bears attack at his home in a squat to Kilburn, North London.

His cremation on the 22nd of March was attended by about 25 people.

EMMA GOLDMAN

poster

only 25p

incl. post

'Women need not always keep their mouths shut and their wombs open.'

send cheques, p.o.'s to:-Bread & Roses, 16 St Leonards Rd. Surbiton - Surrey

WHAT'S REVOLUTIONARY ABOUT SQUATTING?

The following 3 articles have been written by members of the Kingsgate Squatters and Rent Strikers Co-operative for Self-Management.

I'm not squaring to publicise the problems of humeless people - I am homeless, I'm not squarting because I think that it enough people do it capitalism will collapse - it won't. I'm squarting because I can't afford, on my wages, to pay rent without living pretty close to poverty. In short, there's nothing revolutionary about my squarting. That's not in say, of course, that it can't be used as a revolutionary tactic, and that it can't be a powerful way of showing people the real possibilities of a different way of life.

As the industrial scene has receded from the centre of the arena of political struggle, so housing has become a more vital issue. This has happened for a variety of reasons: The nature of capitalism and its present crises mean that peoples' expectations of improving standards, and the promises of the system, cannot be fulfilled, at a time when few people are prepared to accept their parents standards; the speculation boom of a couple of years ago, and rises in rents, rates and mortgages, and the grip of 'workerist' ideology which tays all emphasis on struggles at work, has lessened considerably in recent years.

The last decade has seen an upsurge in housing-related struggles. As the pressure on living standards increases, people have become more militant in opposing rent rises as well as demanding better-thought - out housing plans, more consultation, more amenities, etc. etc. The people who are most appressed by the housing problem are those without houses, and, as might be expected, many of them have responded with a more radical form of direct action - seizing the houses they need, in defiance of the councils and in spite of the squeals of the bourgeoide.

When the sounding movement began in the late 60's, occupations were frequently aimed at publicioning the chronic non-use of empty houses (100,000 in London alone), Political activists, often from middle-class back grounds and not themselves in need of homes provided the initiative and the organisation. (many of those activists, such as Ron Bailey and I'm Radford, have since defected to official' budies such as Shelter and Family Squarting Advisory Service). In more recent years, however, it is the homeless them selves, only marginally interested in the politics of homelessness, who have taken to squatting as the only solution to the cycle of degradation and poverty. Although a largeproportion of squatters are still young and middle -class, the squatting movement is fast becoming a mass movement.

inevitably, the State has responded by attempts to head off this development, its

tactics have been typical: dividing squatters among themselves, and from the working class as a whole; co-opting and defusing a large area of the struggle; and using the law.

Ever since squatting became popular, the State and the Media have tried to arouse prejudices: 'filthy, drug-smoking layabouts', 'never done a decent day's work', and so on, Recently the Greater London Council started a propaganda campaign to depict all squatters as "Smash and Grab Squatters". Their 'Shocking Proof' looks like an untidy kitchen by comparison with our photos of homes vandalised by the council to prevent people living in them. In their determination not to allow homes to anyone not high enough on their waiting list, houses that are going to be empty for several years are gutted and made uninhabitable (in spite of this many squatters do repair the damage and move in). They pretend that squatters are preventing them from housing homeless families - an obvious lie, since they own something like 50,000 empty houses in London. In short, they try to escape the responsibility for their gross incompetence and bureaucracy by blaming homelessness on the homeless.

They have, however, succeeded in dividing squatters by encouraging short-life bousing organisations - the so-called Family Squatting groups, who take houses, shortly to be demalished, under licence from the council; usually for three months to a year, promising in return to hand the houses back to the councils when asked (some of these groups have evicted their members to fulfil this promise). The results of the actions of these groups have been to relieve the councils of part of their duty of housing people, often in houses in near-derelict condition, and to siphon off much anger and frustration that would otherwise be translated into direct action.

Finally, the State is at present attempting to make squatting a crime in a blanket trespass law that would also affect occupa-

The shocking proof

The evidence against 'smash and grab' squatters



tions of factories, universities, council offices and so on. Many squatters think this can only result in well-defended mass occupations, similar to those in Italy where thousands of families successfully fought police and occupied blocks of flars in Turin. Similar, smaller-scale confrontations in London have sometimes resulted in victories, as in Eigin Avenue in Malda Vale - a street that has resisted bailiffs and police on several occasions.

Without doubt, squatting has a part to play in revolutionary struggle - though at present it has a long way to go to seriously transform the way people live, and confront the forces of the State,



Council vandalism - the shocking proof!

Thave lived in what Council Officials choose to call "official" and "unofficial" squars. Unofficial squars are what most people think of as squars. Official squars are in fact not squats at all, but involve paying rent to a housing organisation for a short-life council house. The choice of words is sneaky, because it implies that some squars are legal and O.K. and others are not—and this is a distinction that local councils, and the G.L.C. to particular, seem to be determined to get across to people through newspapers, television, etc. in order to justify their behaviour.

The official squar that I lived in belonged to an organisation called Short-life Community Housing (SCH). The council lends to SCH (for no money) short-life houses that it is not going to use for a while. SCH makes them frabitable - i.e. mends roofs, plumbing, electricity etc. - and then charges people rent to live in them. Most people pay £2-£3 for a single room and use of communal space. What SCH does with all this money is anybody's guess - it claims to be running at an enormous loss at the moment. At best, that must mean incredible inefficiency and bureaucracy. It seems a typical example of the organisation that has "rendered itself autonomous" - i.e. the administration has become totally alienated from its original

purpose, and has become an end in itself. SCII is among the best of the short-life housing organisations - it has a no-eviction policy and a fairly democratic constitution, In practice it is not democratically run at all. Most of its tenants have become completely apathetic, probably because they are pissed off by the authoritarian bureaucracy of the office workers and the political power games that dominate its meetings. SCH has become as faceless and uncaring as the council housing department. Its waiting lists are now completely closed, and no amount of shouting, abuse, pleading, crying, ar pretond prognanzies will persuade its complacent office workers that you really are desperate for a place.

Further, SCH is completely powerless in the hands of the council - if it doesn't behave uself, the council just stops handing over bouses. The council just uses SCH as a convenience. It bouses people that the council should be bousing, and it usually hands back the houses as soon as the council wants them. This is obviously easier for the council than leaving the houses empty, and then having the trouble and expense of evicting squatters. Most of its tenants treat SCH as they would any landlard, and just hand over the rent each week. If you need some repairs, its easier and quicker to do it yourself than to get them to do it. The only advantage of being an SCH tenant over equatting is the security - you get rehoused in another SCH house, as long as you pay your rent every week.

Unofficial squatting is at least free from such bureaucratic organisations, and it therefore has far more revolutionary potential. Unfortunately, this potential rarely seems to be realised. In the area that I live in, fairly determined attempts were made to get a squatting group going, but they failed because no-one turned up to meetings. In this area squatters are usually evicted after about three or four months in a loouse. There-

are plenty of empty houses - it seems easier to move than to try to fight the eviction on your nwn. Anyway, squarting is basically a defensive act. If the landlord really wants you out, there is really no way you can stop bim. Elgin Avenue appears to be an exception, where squatters and tenants have been fighting the GLC for yours - but all they are really doing is tooking for toopholes in the law so they can put the GLC off a little longer. They have been living under constant threat of eviction for at least a year, and the houses have become so derelict, they hardly seem worth lighting for. Most squatters keep moving, and all the time and energy that goes into looking for new houses, doing repairs, painting, etc., means less time and energy for other, more revolutionary things. Generally, the bigger and better the house, the less likely the landlurd is to let you stay. The squars in big luxurious blocks of houses are just for fun, or to score a political point in the newspaper.

It is estimated that there are 22,000 squatters in London. Most of them, like me, can't or won't pay the ridiculous reats that private landlords are asking, and refuse to sit on the council waiting list for ten or twenty years. (Anyway, I don't even qualify to put my name on the list unless I have

kids, an attempted suicide, or T.B.). The councils have accepted that they can't fight the squatting movement as it is now, so they are dividing squatters into "good" and "bad". The good squatters are in official square. (usually in deretict redevelopment areas), They are approved of by the council, agree to move out when the council wants them to. and comply with any other demands made on them. In a large squat, recently "made official" by a charitable organisation, the charge demanded keys to all the rooms and the right to enter at any time. The bad squatters choose their own houses without asking anyone's permission first - and these are the ones the councils are genting heavy with, it seems a very effective way of dividing the squatting movement. Relatively few people are prepared to live in imofficial squars and be continually moved on. The official squatters can't support them for fear of losing their own places. The councils seem determined to emphasise this division. and it is probably the only way they can even begin to fight 22,000 squatters. The best way to resist is to refuse to accept their classification and concessions. There must be no divisions between privileged and unprivileged squatters, It's all or swhing, All we need is a little solidarity.





Squatting is self-help housing - seizing one's own environment without the constraints of rents. landlards, mortgages etc. As such, it offers radical possibilities for gaining control over one's own life. An empty property can be transformed according to the desires of those who live there. There is the potential freedom of space, and from the traditional ties of marriage and family. The communal squat offers the opportunity for mutual self-discovery, play, adventure, new ways of relating to people.

However, the transitory nature of squars can inhibit the desire to positively reconstruct one's environment; and so many households degenerate into squalid. Iffeless crash-pads. Joy, sensousmess, creativity, become passive indifference, collective isolation. These tendencies are reinforced when the behaviour of individuals within the household is harnessed to various ideologies.

For example the "political" squats where left wing ideology becomes a substitute for creativity, the discussion of "politics" (separated from everyday expertence) a substitute for dialogue. Why do words hang in mid-air, stock in the throat, never flowing freely, lyrical, laughing, joyful, a key to self-realisation. Often the struggle to keep away the hailiffs, the perpetual external organising around this issue, begins to define the activities of the household, thus preventing involvement in the here and-now.

The "drop-out" ideology infects many squar households. Those "escaping" from dependence on parental/family authority become dependent on, for example, the passive consumption of rock music, other drugs, gurus, mysticism etc. Hence the "untogetherness" of some squars which no longer reflect a refusal of constraints but rather an inability to cope. If we can fight the more conventional drugs such as work, HP, mortgages, surely we don't have to remain addicts! This separated, drifting existence is ever threatening squat households, especially as people come into squars through casual acquainfances as rooms become vacant.

Sexual conventions amongst squatters are hardly different from any other housing arrangements despite the "communal"



functioning of many households. Couples remain as couples, defined by other householders as such, or merely through being crowded into morpher rooms. The drifting never-present feeling of many squats is reinforced by a sort of compulsive "free" love - usually the desire to hold back from genuine relationships and become "involved" in casual, superficial, hasically sexist encounters - quantity wins over quality.

Without being too peasimistic, it ought to be said that squats as such are by no means radical, self-managed and free in themselves. Changing the lock on the front door does not ensure that capitalist, commodity relations are not free to enter the new household. Perhaps before considering moving to a squat it is worth considering

- is the shortlife of the place and the Girear of eviction really worth not paying the rent?
- don't squatters share the same passions, anguishes, and joys of their council tenant, housing association, private rented and mortgage-paying neighbours?
- occupy say 2 rooms and for "couples" to

have their own larger space? Is this being sellish, making people homeless? Surely it is the councils, government, and properly speculators who monopolise space, not the bouncless and badly boused. Look at the way "responsible" organisations like SCH (Short-life Community Housing) crowd people lare poky fittle tooms."

In a world defined by power ansone is free, living in square or snywhere else. H is this tack of freedom and sense of powerlessness which englinually arives people on to seize territory for themselves - fragarofusing to ony the face in the crowded underground, to factory occupations and rent strikes. Only when people everywhere recognise their lack of freedom and art for themselves will we see a world reconstructen according to our desires - glay circus. pergitory organised for the toy of living. dancing over the graves of the environmental bureaucrate and their pulletic fall out from IMC locks to the Run Balley anarcho-Tibe rals)...



Snaps of a squat - how many of you living in a £15-a-week flat have got a bathroom like this ?



LETTERS

Pellow Workers.

I just consumed your magazine Anarchy recently. A friend and fellow worker. Otille Markbolt, brought it back from the September General Convention of the Industrial Workers of the World. She recommended it highly and I've just got around to borrowing it from her.

I have no idea how old the issue is (no. 12) or even if your collective is still publishing. I would like to subscribe to it and would appreciate it if you could inform me of all the gristy details as regards price. Do you have a US distibutor or should I send money directly to you folks? Should I send check, money order or cashiers check, cash, gold, beads and trinkets?

Albert Meltzers 'The Labour Movement in Spain' was really tine. All of the Tacoma Wobblies/Degenerate Anarchists are deeply inspired by the heroics of the Spanish Anarchists and Workers. Your readers might get a charge out of Sam Dolgoff's book 'Anarchist Collectives - Workers Self-Management during the Spanish Civil War'. If you havn't already reviewed it it's available from 'Free Life Editions', 41 Union Square West, New York, N.Y. 10003.

Worker dissatisfaction with the economic system, here, is reaching epidemic proportions as evidenced by the curent wave of strikes. I wish there were more English language publications by Anarchists. There will never be too many. I have yet to encounter one that is up to the quality of yours.

Here's hoping we'll soon be putting the boss class' magazines out of business.

Yours for Anarchist Communism Terry L. Dennis. Comrades.

Well well, you have come out with yet another issue, amasing. After the last issue, with articles on feminism and anarchism, and the issue on Spain, this latest endeavour was a bit dissapointing yet several of the articles saved it from complete impotance. These articles were: The Builders Labourers Federation story, which gave us a good introductory glance at what a 'militant' union 'is' and 'does', Whose Revolution Betraved. Trotsky, was good as an introduction to the 'statist' Trotsky. The short on Voline and Trotsky was nice personlification of Trotsky's opportunism and would I be correct in assuming you reprinted it from News from Nowhere, which I work on, Not being malicious or anything just curious as to whether you translated it yourselves or reprinted it from us, if so why no credit in the introduction? The short in itself is quite a popular reprint, it recently was also reprinted by Solidarity in Philadelphia, Penn. LISA. The open letter from the Anarcho -Feminists was excellent.

Now the complaints. Prospects of Anarchy was a liberal piece of shit. It strove to mix 'Anarchism' with 'Alternatives' or 'Counter Culture', It is an essay on an interesting mixture, of what I can gather, the author has consumed recently as 'Culture and Entertainment'; books, theatre, tv, essays, etc. I really doubt that this article was sincerely trying to find the present historical and social movements and conditions for a revolation, if it is the author is either a raving academic liberal or an idiot reporter for a capitalist newspaper. More than likely though our unknown author is the prime example of some libertarian writer for some pacifist paper calling itself 'anarchist'.

The author tells us that a variety of liberals (some more radical than others rhetorically and action wise) from Abbie Hoffman and Richard Neville to Norman Mailer are all prime objects and transformers of anarchist thought. And that old archsexist Henry Miller has libertarian potential
or that Alex Comfort is challenging and investigating sex roles (his book 'loy of Sex'
should be the bedroom guide of all real revolutionaries, because it tells us how to bind
and constrict our lover, our lover has to be
female, (the book contains smears against
gays), she should submit to the male so as
to 'please' him, drugs shouldn't be used, etc.
Need I say more about how backward, sexist
and dangerous Alex Comfort is).

I could go on and on, explain more and more of the articles use of liberals to pose to us as anarchist alternatives in the system. However, the article shows its bankruptcy not only in written examples but in the graphic of the 'True Female Personality' now I assume this is a joke on someones part however I have a slight twinge of doubt look ing back at the article surrounding it, and that article praises sexist men consistantly and constantly, toils us we should look at them as revolutionary examples, never talks about women, or the womens movement except for a blurb of how the Civil Liberties has a handbook on 'Women's Rights', Big. fucking deal.

However the author indicts himself (and assume its a male as a woman would have told us more about the importance of the womens movement) when he says: "The Young Liberals policy in general, as expressed in "Scarborough Perspectives" is of special interest to anarchists". The author then uses the word "Libertarian" throughout, tossing it this way and that calling liberal human rightists real 'libertarians', etc.

If the author wished to express hope, and amazement at the struggle we are all involved in to free ourselves from capitalism and the state then an article on the anarchist groups like Black Cross or Solidarity or the European Comrades and their struggles or our sisters in their struggles would have served much better, however I take it that

the author was trying to reach the people who don't believe in the state, vote liberal, and think workers are reactionary and women are something you fuck. If these people are anarchists then I am not, and if Amarchy magazine continues to publish such antipopple articles then you might as well pack up cause you have just proven the bankrupley of the ideal of anarchy.

'Why workers control doesn't work in Yugoslavia', was good again as an introduction, however I hope you will run more documentation on the Yugoslavian Iflusion of workers control, in the future,

The only other two articles which I thought were useless and a waste of space were Nicholas Walters reply and the reply to the reply. Totally personal attacks on each other are really not necessary in a Journal, however if is up to you to run them if you feel inclined, but I do think that when they get to the point of something that could be settled face to face, then I get lost in the problems of the movement in England and thus I flip the page only to find by Bakunia's beard, none other than that old stalwart of Canadian literature. George Woodenck.

Now the Wondcock/Meltzer debate I can enjoy as Woodcock toyes to use his pen like his vocal chords and go on and on expressing himself, however I really have to doubt his sincerity. I mean George do you have to defend yourself, and catting 'Anarchism' oundated and a call for the new anarchism is really nuite a way to avoid defining what is going to be 'new' about your concept of anarchism. However since you personily yourself as Bakunin at the beginning of your article I take it you carry your personifica tion of people and (deology through to the end where you attack Marx or I mean Meltzer as a centerist / statist a ta loe Statin McCarthy. Gosh that's the classic line used by every Canadian liberal who eries when sumeone says 'shot up you're rocking the bout or hurting my feelings. So I take it Melizer must represent the 'old' anarchism and you

the 'new'. So if this is true and you are moving towards the new and Meltzer the old. what is the difference? Well could it be that the new anarchism is Prof. Woodcock, great Canadian Literature Prof. is this what we should do, those of us that are Canadian anarchists, are you going to be our new Bakunin leading us through the hal s of academia, to our rightful place in the Liberal Canadian State: What are you personally doing George besides writing for the Bourgoisie? I mean 'Books in Canada' is not exactly the best loved magasine among the working masses in Canada. What are you doing George besides sitting on your ass? How many anarchists in Canada do you know or have contact with? Why havn't you communicated your solidarity with us and your involvment in building this so called 'new' anarchism? We are struggling here by ourselves, in our workplaces, in our communities, stressing the need for people to control their own lives. At the same time we in Canada are building our groupings in the cities, publishing magazines, pamphlets, propaganda etc. What are you doing to help us George? Is your 'new' anarchism sitting on your ass getting government grants or state controlled teaching jobs in state controlled processing centres called university? Have you helped organise the young marchists around you at UBC or Simon Fraser? What have you done, dammit George?

And what has Melizer done, well he has struggled with Spanish Comrades and other European comrades to build an active anarchist resistance movement in Europe, that's 'old' anarchism I guess.

Now George the differences between you and Meitzer aren't just opinions but opinions and ideas based on and carried through in action. George you represent nothing 'new' just the same old passivity and liberainess that is so much part of the Statist Canadian Culture; you represent Trudeau better than Bakenin. When you get off your ass and engage in some action here in Canada, then

maybe your concept of 'new' anarchism will have some relevance, however at this moment you, your analysis and your call to a new anarchism are where they originated from, on my bookshelf labelled anarchist history.

Well I guess that's about it for my complaints. We here are actually pleased with the endeavor to make anarchy as a mag at last. You were doing well till this issue, since your hearts weren't really into it your forgiven, lets try not to drop the quality anymore.

Keep up the struggle.

Yours for generalised self management Eugene Plawick,

HOW TO GET ANARCHY

By subscription mailed to you at excitingly irregular intervals.

U.K. £1.50 for 10 issues incl. p. and p. Send cheque, postal order or money order.

U.S.A. \$3.75 for 10 issues incl. p. and p. (That is by sea mail - air mail is too expensive to talk about.)

OTHER COUNTRIES send sterling, either cheque, money order or sugar.

HOW TO SPREAD ANARCHY

By bundle U.K. 75p for 10 issues sent to you post free on sale or return - you pay the return.

U.S.A. \$1.88 for 10 issues by surface mail sent to you post free on sale or return - you pay the return.

LETTERS ctd

Anarchy Marazine (Foolishers)

INSTRUCTIONS TO SENDER

29 Grosvenor Avenue

London G. S. Corland

HIMOVE TELLOW COPY FOR FOUR FILE # SEND REMAINDER OF FORM INTECT WITH CARRONS TO PERSON ADDRESSED. INSTRUCTIONS TO PERSON ADDRESSED: 656

Iron-Office Snup Sat |

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE

Serials Lepta, energi Library

F.G. See Swid Biverside, Cally, 12507

SUBJECT

numering problem with thereby sagaring

D.876

AC. 70, 197L

MESSAGE

mar our or adam,

It is time for our library to bind your magazine, AMAGON. Phis small task has been rendered difficult, if not impossible by the system or lack of the two up to assign volumes and numbers to your issues.

after vol. 10 (1970) our records are in chaos. We assigned new series ? vol. 1 now. I-11 to the year 1971. Is this correct?

forse yet, the last 3 issues we have received have in . o orders, no mimber, and no year! Could you help us out?

to make our records accessible to the restestnumber of people, it is vital that our records be clear and scentrate. Any help you can live would be appreciated.

PERLY

DATE

HONGO Merch Clares

It goes like this - 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8, 9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16..

70

THIS COPY RETURNED TO SENDER

some A.N. Archy.

WRITE FOR ANARCHY

We would like some articles from you. Possible forthcoming topics for Anarchy are Ireland, Doctors, and Anarchy and Organisation but if you can think of a topic you would like us to print, go ahead and write about it. We can't use articles that are too long or too academic, and we don't usually print poetry. So instead of complaining, how about doing a bit of work yourselves and writing your own Anarchy instead of expecting us to do it for you.

ALL-LONDON SQUATTERS GLC ACTION GROUP PRESS STATEMENT

Issued at Press Conference 11.30 a.m. Tuesday January 28 Roebuck Pub. Tottenham Court Road. Read out by a spokesperson for the Action Group.

"Smash and Grab Squatters" are a bogey created by the GLC in a hysterical attempt to blame squatters for the housing crisis and whip up hatred against them.

It is not the actions of the homeless but those of GLC. Councils. Speculators and Government which are to blaine for the housing crisis.

Squatters are generally just ordinary working people who are homeless because they cannot find suitable accommodation at reasonable rent. They are the "Can't Wait Homeless". No-one should have to wait for housing. There are 100,000 good empty properties in London which should be taken over for all the homeless.

The GLC's idea of reasonable squatters is people who will voluntarily make themactives homeless at Council's request.

Those who demand Housing For All are labelled "Smash and Grab".

The GLC's artacks on squatting spell grave danger to many more than squatters. The effect of the Law Commission proposals on Criminal Trespass which are backed by the Labour GLC would not only make criminals of the homeless but would make protest occupations and pickets by students and trade unionists imprisonable acts: and, would also give the police on-the-spot powers to decide on complex landlord-tenant legal problems which could result in immediate evictions.

Accusations that squatters smash houses are absurd. The squarting movement is opposed to all forms of vandalism and makes great efforts to repair the large-scale deliberate vandalism of GLC and Councils.

We have many examples of GLC vandalism and keeping of houses empty for long periods without reason. For example in spring last year GLC deliberately destroyed the inside of 111 Walterton Road Paddington. for which there were no plans, just before squatter families were to move in. That GLC smashed the lavatories of 60 flats in Ritchie House North Islington, This is a commonplace-method for making houses unialiabitable, used by GLC and Councils all over London. In Stepney whole streets of houses are bricked up to make them unsqualtable. In Heathfield Gardens Wandsworth good Georgian houses have been empty up to 8 years, Similarly GLC blocks of flars at Northampton buildings South Islington have been empty for years.

Damage to gas fittings and so on in Warwick Avenue Little Venice revealed by GLC last week is deplorable whoever did it but the giant GLC propaganda machine is shedding crocodile tears over blown-up and isotared incidents. The bard facts about this, the centreplece of GLC's attack last week, are that the Warwick Avenue flats were not renovated for the real homeless of London. They were ariginally renovated for the High Rent Accommodation category, This category was abolished by the Labour GLC but although they reduced rents rich professional people with expensive cars are still moving in. Displayed here we have a photograph of a Mk 10 Jaguar outside its owners house -

the GLC Warwick Avenue flats Little Venice.

We give warning to the so-calledsocialist GLC that all people in London who are concerned with the fight for decent housing for all will not sit back and allow squatters to be evicted and used as scapegoats for the decrease in housing standards and cut-backs in housing programmes which keep hundreds of thousands of people in sluin conditions. The London squatting movement will move onto the offensive against GLC.

We are faunching an Inquiry into GLC housing policy. Findings will be made public and backed-up by action. The items revealed at this Press Conference are early results, a detailed report will be made at a Housing Crisis Conference organised by All London Squatters to be held in March. We welcome Trade Unionists and Tenants to join us in this Inquiry and Conference.

We are starting a campaign for a programme which the so-called socialist GLC and Government should support if they care about the homeless.

Our basic demand is: No Evictions -Decent Housing For All: AND all here includes single people and childless couples.

50 we demand, as a preliminary programme:

- Immediate requisitioning of all empty property for the homeless.
- A crash programme of repairs, reliabilitation and building on densitic space.
- The financing of housing programmes by cash grants and interest-free loans from central Government. The high interest re-payments by Councile to City financiers must be stopped. (FACT: 90% of rent income of GLC is spent on interest repayments.)
- Democratic control by area conferences of Tenants. Trade Unions, and Squatters organisations over all bousing and devel-

opment plans and usage of empty property.

Concerning Elgin Avenue Paddington, the GLC "offer" of alternative accommodation made in August was unreal. It consisted of derelict slums in the main which were bricked up and had holes in roofs. The Paddington Federation of Tensuts and Residents and Westminster Trades Council together inspected these properties, mainly in Jubilee Street El, and agreed they were not good enough. Housing standards must be maintained.

Even after Elgin Avenue Squatters won the High Court Appeal against eviction in October they made it absolutely clear to GLC that they would be happy to leave immediately if given adequate alternatives. It is the GLC, not squatters, who have deliberately caused delay and according to their own figures have as a result wasted £150,000 of ratepayers money rather than be seen to provide adequate re-housing.

It is absurd to describe the 200-odd squatters in Figin Avenue as not being homeless but as "hippies, drop-outs, political agitators and young tourisis". People in Bigin Avenue are typical of London's homeless. They have jobs, include families on and off the waiting list, and old people, and have been there up to 3 years. Some families have even been referred there by Westminster Council social workers rather than to degrading field and Breakfast.

We warn that if the GLC attempt to evict Etgin Avenue, the eviction, which will be the biggest ever in Britain, will be physically resisted behind barricades.

Concerning Charrington Street Camden, the GLC issued 5 summonses for Court eviction proceedings on Friday. This we see as the opening of the first of a series of struggles in which the bousing movement will fight the GLC's attacks on the homeless.

Tenant Beware

You may have heard of and even participated in residents' or tenants' associations. They vary from 1) a group of upper-middle class owner-occupiers who get together as a protection against people moving into their area who might lower the tone and more importantly, the house prices.

2) tenants who get together either because they have a common landlord or who live in a re-development area and are fighting for a good deal for all,

There was initially a small tenants group in our road, around a common and notorious landlord, this was extended to include all tenants when the council voted to compulsorily purchase the street with a view to rehabilitation. We got together for two main reasons - protecting ourselves from harrasment by the landlords (they get more for an empty house) and to make sure we get a fair deal from the council when the time comes. The council suggested we form a tenants association; ward councillors offered us help in setting it up and a bloke from the local law centre came to meetings to help us with legal points and general advice.

This is where I think the tenant must beware, because tenants associations have become the new radical thing for politicians to seize on: Islington Council, which really fancies itself as a radical body, has got its very own Participation Officer, who will assist tenants in forming associations with a chairman, treasurer, secretary, minutes and rules, to fight for such revolutionary aims as choosing the colour of your front door and forming vigilante squads to beat (up) vandals.

It is hard enough anyway for tenants to form a group in which it is not just the good speakers who get heard, and where everyone one's interests are given a look in. But with an outsider included, be they coincillor or lawyer. I think it's even harder. That isn't to say that legal advice isn't always useful, for instance in tenants rights of occupation; but when it comes down to it and the landlord knocks your bedroom wall down (true story) while you're out at work, all the harassment officers in the world (and Islington Councils got one of them too) aren't going to put it back for you.

When fighting over housing; to stop harrasment and evictions; to get a decent place to live, in the area you want, from the council; it takes a group of people who have a direct common interest, who are not taken in by council promises, and who are prepared to stop an eviction with force. This sort of action isn't going to come from mealy-mouthed liberals who have an image to keep up, the fence sitting pseudoradicals, who fill the council chambers and law centres.

It's a daunting prospect at first fighting several landlords, and the council, and the owner-occupiers all on your own - but we aren't on our own, we are several hundred. Oh we havn't got experience in the council's games but if we don't try to play their games we'll be alright. They want delegations - you know a few tongue tied tenants versus the housing committee; nuts. They want residents (they're the owner-occupiers) and tenants (us) to form a joint committee; nuts again.

It's very easy to be taken over, it's easy for the eloquent few to become leaders and make deals, it's hard to get everone inter ested, it's boring knocking on doors in the pouring rain to drum up enthusiasm for a meeting, it's difficult to make sure that the needs of the few are fought for alongside those of the majority etc. etc. but it can be done; it must be done if we are ever to realise our needs and desires - and basically the struggle begins at home.

Charlotte Baggins.



THE HOUSES BELONG
TO US —
THE CITY
IS OURS